

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/665,142	09/22/2003	Isao Kakuhari	2003_1330A	5803	
513 WENDEROTT	7590 03/17/200 H, LIND & PONACK, 1	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1030 15th Street, N.W.,			LAO, LUN S		
Suite 400 East Washington 1	OC 20005-1503	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Transaction, L	7C 20000 1000	2614			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/665,142	KAKUHARI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LUN-SEE LAO	2614		

	LUN-SEE LAO	2614	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP			
<ol> <li>X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a)  The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 766.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date thave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office ther may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b     (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor     (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov     (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
appeal; and/or  (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12     Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. If for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s); a) in how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ( 13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).		
/Vivian Chin/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that rejection under112 first paragraph for claim 47 (see the remarks page 1, last paragraph). The claim 37 recited "one loudspeaker comprises a plurality of oudspeakers.". Applicant point out the the specification paragraph 66 and figs. 16-17 and the cell 20 includes for loudspeakers 1a to 1d) for supporting the claim limitation.

ror supporting the calari illinitation.

The examiner disagrees that. The specification does not disclose at least one loudspeaker comprises a plurality of loudspeakers in paragraph 66 and figs. 16,17 which applicant points out. Person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the cell is not a speaker is not a speaker is not a cell. Therfore, the 112 first paragraph reliction will be maitained.

Applicant argued that . Mason does not properly disclose any reason to have the feature of the enclosed space as defined in claims 17 and 37 for noise reduction between the external noise source and the wall (see the remarks from page 3, 4th paragraph to page 6, first paragraph.)

The examiner disagrees that. Fuselage is noise source as stated by Mason. Person of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the fuselage is external to the aircraft cabin. Since the aircraft cabin inherently defines an enclosed space(due to the requirements for flying at high altitudes). Mason teaches a housing (the aircraft cabin which including 106 in fig.2), to be attached to a surface(102) of the wall so as to face the external noise source (102 and 10 noise by vibration surface 102) and thereby block a noise propagation path, for generating an enclosed spaces(reads on, the space of the aircraft cabin and see ol. 2 line 35-52) for noise reduction between the external noise source (102) and the wall (reads on, the wall of the aircraft cabin and see ol. 2 line 35-52); a loudspeaker (104), to be attached to the housing (the aircraft cabin which including) 106 in fig.2) so as to face the external noise source(N noise) the thereby block the noise propagation path, for radiating sound into the enclosed spaces(reads on, space of the aircraft cabin and see ol. 2 line 35-52); a sound detector (reads on, motion sensor (200)) to be placed within the enclosed spaces for detecting sound propagated from the external noise source through said loudspeaker(104), and a control arrangement (202) for causing said loudspeaker(104) to radiate sound so as to minimize sound to be detected by said sound detectors(200), based on a results corresponding to the sound setected by said sound detectors(200), based on a results corresponding to the sound setected by as a sound extended to the limitation as recited in claim 17 and 37. Applicant's arguments are not resruesive.